Development aid to reproductive health, 2009-2010

Justine Hsu
Countdown to 2015 Financing Working Group
London School of Hygiene and Tropical Medicine

Women Deliver Conference 29 May 2013



Tracking aid flows to reproductive health

- Why are we tracking?
 - To encourage accountability
 - To improve the coordination, efficiency and impact of aid
 - To inform investments
- How are we tracking?
 - Manually re-coded all disbursement records from OECD CRS against a framework
 - Applied allocation factors based on literature reviews and population, epidemiological and expenditure data
- Who are we tracking?
 - All donors reporting to the OECD CRS database
 - A subset of 37 donors to 74 Countdown priority countries



Defining reproductive health expenditures

Reproductive health (RH) expenditures

=

R* (additional reproductive health activities)

e.g. expenditures on family planning, sexual health and sexually transmitted infections, including HIV




MNH (maternal and newborn health activities)

e.g. expenditures on antenatal and postnatal care, treatment and preventive services for the newborn infant



Worldwide aid flows to reproductive health: the impact of R*



Maternal, Newborn & Child Survival


Aid flows to reproductive health

	2003	2004	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010
WORLDWIDE (all donors to all countries)								
ODA to R*							3,461	3,600
% inc/dec								4%
ODA to MNH	893	705	1,283	1,443	1,525	1,623	2,117	2.037
% inc/dec		-21%	82%	12%	12%	6%	30%	-4%
ODA to RH							5,579	5,637
% inc/dec								1%
COUNTDOWN PRIORITY COUNTRIES (a subset of donors to 74 Countdown priority countries)								
ODA to R*							2,549	2,716
% inc/dec								7%
ODA to MNH	621	564	969	1,123	1,127	1,284	1,456	1,512
% inc/dec		-9%	72%	16%	0.3%	14%	13%	3%
ODA to RH							4,066	4,284
% inc/dec								5%


- Millions of constant 2010\$
- Exc. debt forgiveness
- Subset of 31 Countdown donors over 2003-10 and 37 donors over 2009-10



Breakdown of aid flows to reproductive health to Countdown priority countries in 2010



Breakdown by donor types & RH subcategories, 2010






2010 top donors & recipients: impact of HIV funding on ranking

Donors	ODA to RH, including HIV (Ranking)	ODA to RH, minus HIV (Ranking)			
US	\$2762 million (1)	\$802 million (1)			
Global Fund	\$888 million (2)	\$314 million (2)			
UK	\$284 million (3)	\$205 million (3)			
Recipients	ODA to RH, including HIV (Ranking)	ODA to RH, minus HIV (Ranking)			
South Africa	\$350 million (1)	\$10 million (48)			
Ethiopia	\$287 million (2)	\$89 million (4)			
Nigeria	\$276 million (3)	\$81 million (7)			





Concluding questions

Is aid increasing?

• Is aid effective?

Are donor transparency & accountability improving?



Recommendations

- Continue the trend analysis
- Analyse costs and resources needed to scale-up priority interventions
- Identify what areas need to be supported
- Coordinate international development aid and domestic resources based on country plans
- Invest in reproductive health



Thank you

